Lec 20: Computation Expression

CS220: Programming Principles

Sang Kil Cha



Computation Expression



Recall Asynchronous Computations

async { exprs ... } was an example of computation expressions. Computation expressions provide a convenient syntax for writing computations.



Another Example: seq

The seq computation expression has a similar form: $seq \{ ... \}$. And it helps build sequence expressions.

```
seq { for i = 0 to 5 do yield (i, i * i) }
seq { while true do yield 1 } // Infinite sequence.
```

Compared to Seq.unfold, which one is easier to understand?

What is Similar?

Given the above examples of computation expressions, i.e., seq and async, is there any common thing that you can figure out?



What is Similar?

Given the above examples of computation expressions, i.e., seq and async, is there any common thing that you can figure out?

Those exprssions represent specific computations under a specific context.



Expressing Context-Sensitive Computations

We can represent context-sensitive computations using a "wrapped" type¹, called *computation*.

¹They are wrapped by a type constructor.



Attendance Check

Note:

- 1. This slide appears at random time during the class.
- 2. This link is only valid for a few minutes.
- 3. We don't accept late responses.



Monads

A monad is a design pattern that allows structuring programs generically while automating away boilerplate code needed by the program logic².

Monads allow us to hide some low-level details of computations.

²https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Monad_(functional_programming)



What Were Hidden in async and seq?

- When building an async computation, all the low-level thread management code was hidden from the expression.
- When building a seq computation, the details about constructing cons cells and maintaining its state were hidden.



Monads in this Class

A monad is a triple of a generic type constructor (M<'T>) and the two following operations.

```
val Bind: M<'T> * ('T -> M<'U>) -> M<'U>
val Return: 'T -> M<'T>
```

✓ Monads are like a box: it is a box with a value of type 'T, and the function ('T → M<'U>) takes the value from the box and returns another box of type (M<'U>).

Motivating Example

```
let inc x = x + 1
let dec x = x - 1
// Concise and easy to understand
let id = inc >> dec
```

Function composition is elegant and easy to understand.



Motivating Example (cont'd)

```
type ResultWithDebugMessage<'a> = {
   Result: 'a
   DbgMsg: string
}
let inc x = { Result = x + 1; DbgMsg = "incremented" }
let dec x = { Result = x - 1; DbgMsg = "decremented" }
let id = inc >> dec // type mismatch.
```

Works, but not elegant.

```
let id x =
  let rA = inc x
  let rB = dec rA.Result
  { Result = rB.Result; DbgMsg = rA.DbgMsg + "\n" + rB.DbgMsg }
```

Make it Combinable

```
let bind f r =
  let r' = f r.Result
  { r' with DbgMsg = r.DbgMsg + "\n" + r'.DbgMsg }
let id = inc >> bind dec
```

The id function now combines inc and dec in an elegant manner.



Return the Boxed Type

We want to make ResultWithDebugMessage value from an integer: wrap function simply wraps a value without any debugging message.

```
let wrap r = { Result = r; DbgMsg = "" }
let id =
  inc
  >> bind dec
  >> bind (fun x -> x + 1 |> wrap)
  >> bind dec
```

Signatures of bind and wrap

ResultWithDebugMessage<'a> was an example of monad!



Bottom Line

We can logically bind functions while hiding some details behind the scene with monads. Typically we define a bind operator (>=), which is an infix-operator for the Bind function discussed above.

```
let (>>=) m f = bind f m let id x = inc x >>= dec >>= inc >>= dec
```



Enhancing The Expressivenes



Let-Bindings Revisited

We can always convert let-bindings to a function with nested function calls: function calls another function, and the function calls another function, and so on.

```
let x = 1
let y = 2 + x
let z = x * y
z
```

```
1 |> fun x ->
2 + x |> fun y ->
x * y |> fun z ->
z
```

Creating a Bind Function

Let us now create a bind function that takes in a value and a function, and apply the value to the function (as in the pipe operator).

```
let bind x f = f x
let ret x = x
bind 1 (fun x ->
  bind (2 + x) (fun y ->
  bind (x * y) (fun z ->
  ret z)))
```

This is so-called "continuation passing style" (CPS).



Continuation Passing Style?

In CPS, functions always end with a function that we call *continuation*, which describes what to do next.

```
// Normal
let add a b = a + b
let x = add (add 1 2) 3
// CPS
let add a b cont = cont (a + b)
let x = add 1 2 (fun r -> add r 3 (fun r -> r))
```

Food for Thought. CPS forces us to write tail-recursive functions, but it doesn't mean that it helps reduce memory consumption.

CPS Bindings vs. Let-Bindings

Syntactically different but semantically the same.

```
bind 1 (fun x ->
bind (2 + x) (fun y ->
bind (x * y) (fun z ->
ret z)))
```

```
let x = 1
let y = 2 + x
let z = x * y
```

Hiding Complex Logic

If we can transform the chain of bind-ret function calls into expressions that look like let-bindings, and if our language supports such a transformation, then we can hide some complex logic under a beautiful language.

```
let bind x f =
  printfn "you can do some complex things here."
  f x

bind 1 (fun x ->
  bind (2 + x) (fun y ->
    bind (x * y) (fun z ->
    ret z)))
```

let vs. let!

Compare bind and ResultWithDebugMessage.bind

```
let bind x f = f x // 'a -> ('a -> 'b) -> 'b
module ResultWithDebugMessage =
  // ResultWithDebugMessage < 'a> ->
  // ('a -> ResultWithDebugMessage<'b>) ->
  // ResultWithDebugMessage < 'b>
  let bind r f =
    let r' = f r.Result
    { r' with DbgMsg = r.DbgMsg + "\n" + <math>r'.DbgMsg }
```

Example: Safe Division

Safe division function.

```
let safeDiv a b =
  if b = 0 then None
  else Some (a / b)
```

Too Many Nested Checks

```
// unsafe div
let x = (((a / b) / c) / d) / e
// safe div
let x' =
  match safeDiv a b with
   None -> None
   Some r ->
    match safeDiv r c with
    | None -> None
    I Some r \rightarrow
      match safeDiv r d with
      | None -> None
      | Some r -> safeDiv r e
```



Observation

The nested match expressions follow a CPS. We can write our own "bind" function to connect them!

```
let bind (x, f) =
  match x with
  | None -> None
  | Some m -> f m
let ret x = Some x
```

```
bind (safeDiv a b, fun r ->
bind (safeDiv r c, fun r ->
bind (safeDiv r d, fun r ->
bind (safeDiv r e, fun r ->
ret r))))
```

Built-in Binder: Option.bind

Works the same, but it takes a continuation first.

```
val Option.bind: ('a -> 'b option) -> 'a option -> 'b option
```

```
safeDiv a b |> Option.bind (fun r ->
  safeDiv r c |> Option.bind (fun r ->
  safeDiv r d |> Option.bind (fun r ->
    safeDiv r e |> Option.bind (fun r ->
    ret r))))
```

Computation Expression Builder

A computation expression builder is a class that contains several member functions such as Bind and Return.

```
val __.Bind: M<'T> * ('T -> M<'U>) -> M<'U>
val __.Return: 'T -> M<'T>
```

We can define our own builder to create our computation expressions.



Maybe Computation Expression

```
type MaybeBuilder () =
  member __.Bind (m, f) = Option.bind f m
  member __.Return (m) = Some m

let maybe = MaybeBuilder ()
```



```
maybe {
  let! r = safeDiv a b
  let! r = safeDiv r c
  let! r = safeDiv r d
  let! r = safeDiv r e
  return r
}
```

- The Bind member corresponds to the let! expression.
- The Return member corresponds to the return expression.

DB Example

```
match Email.create emailInput with
| Some email ->
 match Name.create nameInput with
  | Some name ->
   match ID.create idInput with
    | Some id ->
      DB.insert db email name id // insert into the DB
    | None -> db // return the DB as it is
  | None -> db
 None -> db
```



DB Example (cont'd)

```
maybe {
  let! email = Email.create emailInput
  let! name = Name.create nameInput
  let! id = ID.create idInput
  return DB.insert email name id
}
```

Elegant and easy to read!



In-Class Activity #20



Preparation

We are going to use the same git repository as before. Just in case you don't have it, clone the repository using the following command.

- 1. Clone the repository to your machine.
 - git clone https://github.com/KAIST-CS220/CS220-Main.git
- 2. Move in to the directory CS220-Main/Activities
 - cd CS220-Main
 - cd Activities



Problem: Define Your Own

Define your own computation expression for list. The computation expression should be able to handle the following code.

```
mylist { for i in [1 .. 10] do yield i * i }
mylist { for i in [1 .. 10] do yield i * i }
```

Hint: you need to define Yield and For members.

For Member

```
for identifier = enumerable-expr do cexpr
becomes
builder.For (enumerable-expr, fun identifier -> cexpr)
for identifier = expr1 to expr2 do cexpr
becomes
builder.For (seq [expr1 .. expr2], fun identifier -> cexpr)
```



Conclusion



Further Readings

• https:

//fsharpforfunandprofit.com/series/computation-expressions.html



Question?

